

This course was taught during the COVID-19 pandemic

Generated by EEE+ Evaluations on 7/3/23 8:37pm

Evaluation Results

Parush Arora

Social Sciences TA Evaluation

Responses: 92

Window: 5/23/21 12:00am - 6/6/21 11:50pm

Assigned to: ECON 140 LEC A: MANAGERIAL ECON (62260)

This course was taught during the COVID-19 pandemic

Evaluation Results

Departments and Instructors find students' written comments the most valuable element of course evaluations. Your evaluations are anonymous and not available until grades are posted.

Your feedback is important and matters. To help faculty get the most out of your feedback, please keep the following in mind:

*Specific constructive suggestions that focus on your learning and instructional choices are central to your feedback. Offer examples when needed – both positive and negative.

*Remember that you are writing to your instructor. When providing constructive feedback, be professional and respectful. Do not use offensive language or labels.

*Comments that are not related to your learning diminish the value of your feedback. For example, it is not helpful to comment upon an instructor's appearance or to include personal insults in your feedback.

Please compare this teaching assistant with others who have been your T.A. for courses taken at UCI. If a question does not apply to this course, choose the "0" or "Not Applicable" answer. All answers are anonymous.

ATTENDANCE

This course was taught during the COVID-19 pandemic

Question #1 • Multiple Choice • 80 responses (87%)

How often did you attend discussion sections?

RESPONSE	COUNT	PERCENTAGE
Never	30	38%
Once	13	16%
2 - 3 times	20	25%
4 - 5 times	6	8%
6 - 7 times	5	6%
8 times	4	5%
More than 8 times	2	3%

Question #2 • Multiple Choice • 79 responses (86%)

How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?

RESPONSE	COUNT	PERCENTAGE
Never	54	68%
Once	10	13%
2 - 3 times	9	11%
4 - 5 times	2	3%
6 - 7 times	1	1%
8 times	1	1%
More than 8 times	2	3%

PRESENTATION

Grid Question Header

This course was taught during the COVID-19 pandemic

Question #3 • Grid Question • 80 responses (87%)

T.A. was competent in course material:

RESPONSES	COUNT	PERCENTAGE
0 (N/A or Unsure)	13	16%
1 (Among Worst)	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	1	1%
4 (OK or Average)	15	19%
5	10	13%
6	10	13%
7 (Among Best)	31	39%

Question #4 • Grid Question • 79 responses (86%)

T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:

RESPONSES	COUNT	PERCENTAGE
0 (N/A or Unsure)	13	16%
1 (Among Worst)	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	2	3%
4 (OK or Average)	14	18%
5	11	14%
6	11	14%
7 (Among Best)	28	35%

Question #5 • Grid Question • 79 responses (86%)

T.A. was responsive to students:

RESPONSES	COUNT	PERCENTAGE
0 (N/A or Unsure)	13	16%
1 (Among Worst)	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	2	3%
4 (OK or Average)	14	18%
5	10	13%
6	10	13%
7 (Among Best)	30	38%

This course was taught during the COVID-19 pandemic

Question #6 • Grid Question • 79 responses (86%)

T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material:

RESPONSES	COUNT	PERCENTAGE
0 (N/A or Unsure)	13	16%
1 (Among Worst)	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	2	3%
4 (OK or Average)	14	18%
5	9	11%
6	12	15%
7 (Among Best)	29	37%

Question #7 • Grid Question • 78 responses (85%)

T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours:

RESPONSES	COUNT	PERCENTAGE
0 (N/A or Unsure)	13	17%
1 (Among Worst)	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	1	1%
4 (OK or Average)	14	18%
5	8	10%
6	12	15%
7 (Among Best)	30	38%

Question #8 • Grid Question • 79 responses (86%)

The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course:

RESPONSES	COUNT	PERCENTAGE
0 (N/A or Unsure)	13	16%
1 (Among Worst)	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	3	4%
4 (OK or Average)	15	19%
5	6	8%
6	15	19%
7 (Among Best)	27	34%

This course was taught during the COVID-19 pandemic

Question #9 • Grid Question • 80 responses (87%)
I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:

RESPONSES	COUNT	PERCENTAGE
0 (N/A or Unsure)	13	16%
1 (Among Worst)	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	2	3%
4 (OK or Average)	15	19%
5	7	9%
6	13	16%
7 (Among Best)	30	38%

EFFECTIVENESS

Question #10 • Multiple Choice • 73 responses (79%)
Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:

RESPONSE	COUNT	PERCENTAGE
Poor	2	3%
Inadequate	0	0%
Less than adequate	3	4%
Adequate	35	48%
More than adequate	10	14%
Very good	23	32%

COMMENTS

Question #11 • Text Response • 29 responses (32%)

What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

Results:

- He was one of the better TAs I have had at UCI. I hope that he may TA another course that I'm taking over the summer.
- TA explained the concepts very clearly and took us through the examples which were very helpful.
- this submission is in protest of the NINETEEN+ REMINDER EMAILS SENT BETWEEN MIDNIGHT AND 2AM
- Administered material in a professional and helpful manner.
- Very nice! Helpful and knows the material very well.
- It let us to ask question and know what going on.
- Can response students' concerns quickly.
- Understanding of the subject
- Patience and responsibility
- Parush is really good
- nothing in partciular
- Good explanation
- knowledgeable.
- effective
- All good
- Patience
- Notes
- clear
- good
- good
- good
- none
- N/A
- N/A

This course was taught during the COVID-19 pandemic

- N/A
- N/A
- n/a
- n/a
- No

Question #12 • Text Response • 28 responses (30%)

Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?

Results:

- this submission is in protest of the NINETEEN+ REMINDER EMAILS SENT BETWEEN MIDNIGHT AND 2AM
- None, please continue to keep up the good work!
- TA has already done a very good job
- I do not have any recommendations.
- No, very good the way he teaches.
- no particular recommendations
- None that I can think of
- Right now is very good
- yes be yourself
- good job.
- All good
- sorry no
- None
- Nope
- good
- none
- none
- N/A
- N/A
- N/A
- N/A
- n/a
- n/a
- No
- No

This course was taught during the COVID-19 pandemic

no

• no

• no